



HIM Lecture 5

Anthropomorphism and Employment Social Roles for Persons

Joanna J. Bryson

University of Bath, United Kingdom

@j2bryson

Outline

- Expedient anthropomorphism (Today!)
- Employment / Economic Impact I

Expedient Anthropomorphism

- **Hypothesis:** If we make AI more humanlike, it's more obvious how to interact with it.
- **Known fact:** (at least some) People want to buy humanlike AI.
- Humans also prefer female-sounding personal assistants – justification for giving *Alexa et alia* female voices.
- **Is it OK that AI is used to support the historic human norm of female subservience?** (Devlin 2018)

Questions of Machine Anthropomorphism

1. Strong anthropomorphism:
 1. Should we build AI in such a way that artefacts should be moral subjects?
 2. Is it inevitable that as artefacts become more intelligent that they are owed moral subjectivity?
2. Expedient anthropomorphism:
 1. Is AI that appears humanlike easier to use / more effective?
 2. Is it moral to make AI appear more humanlike than it is?

Questions of Machine Anthropomorphism

1. Strong anthropomorphism:

1. Should we build AI in such a way that artefacts should be moral subjects?
2. Is it inevitable that as artefacts become more intelligent that they are owed moral subjectivity?

2. Expedient anthropomorphism:

1. Is AI that appears humanlike easier to use / more effective?
2. Is it moral to make AI appear more humanlike than it is?

UK EPSRC's Principles of Robotics (2011) *more in HMM Lecture 7*

- First instance of national “soft law” on AI ethics for any nation.
- Written in 2010 to reassure UK public that robots are safe.
- The **first three** revise **Asimov's Laws** to communicate:
 - **Artefacts** aren't persons.
 - **Manufacturers** have standard responsibilities for artefacts.
- The **fourth and fifth** are about the rights and responsibilities of **consumers**.

UK EPSRC's Principles of Robotics (2011)

*more in
HIM Lecture 7*

4. **Robots are manufactured artefacts.** They should not be designed in a deceptive way to exploit vulnerable users; instead their machine nature should be **transparent**.

Bryson, *Connection Science* 2017

Questions of Machine Anthropomorphism

1. Strong anthropomorphism:

1. Should we build AI in such a way that artefacts should be moral subjects?

2. Is it inevitable that as artefacts become more intelligent that they are owed moral subjectivity?

2. Expedient anthropomorphism:

1. Is AI that appears humanlike easier to use / more effective?

2. Is it moral to make AI appear more humanlike than it is?

Morality of Identification

- If we identify with something then treat it badly, we are also more likely to treat humans badly.
- How **Kant** (1784) dealt with obligations towards animals – regardless of dogs' moral status, our obligations towards humans requires us to treat dogs well.

If a man shoots his dog because the animal is no longer capable of service, he does not fail in his duty to the dog, **for the dog cannot judge**, but his act is inhuman and damages in himself that humanity which it is his duty to show towards mankind. **If he is not to stifle his human feelings, he must practice kindness towards animals, for he who is cruel to animals becomes hard also in his dealings with men.** – **Kant** *Lectures on Ethics* (1784–5) **cf Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy**

Kantian Fallacy

(cf. Prescott, Gunkel, & al.)

- Wrong take: Because we will over-identify with AI, we must grant robots rights.
- Wrong because a) no identification with e.g. search, translation, spell checking, and b) legal lacuna (HIM4).
- Right take: Because AI is an ethics sink, we must work to build AI we don't identify with.

cf transparency, and the UK's
Principles of Robotics (Bryson 2017)

Outline

- Expedient anthropomorphism (Today!)
- Employment / Economic Impact I

AI and Employment

- If we make AI software that doubles the efficacy of teachers:
 - We could have twice as good of schools
 - We could pay half as many teachers
- Political (**normative**, policy) decision, but note differences:
 - Fewer people with jobs.
 - Higher average quality teachers?
 - Fewer whistle blowers / simpler control problem.

AI and the Employed

- We have more AI than ever, & more jobs than ever (Autor, 2015, “Why are there still so many jobs.”)
- ICT also disassociates work from a location, a challenge to traditional unionisation tactics.
- Should be fixable given that ICT increases communication.
 - **Example:** Platform workers are starting to organise.
 - But are exposed to constant surveillance.
- Worker’s rights require (or at least benefits from) a state / judiciary that supports their interests.

AI and Wages

- AI may be increasing **inequality**, by making it easier to acquire skills. This reduces an aspect of **wage differentiation** – an economic factor which is believed to benefit redistribution.
- **Example 1:** In 2015 (cf. Autor), more bank tellers than before ATMs. Because each branch has fewer tellers, so branches are cheaper, so more branches.
 - Tellers are now better paid, but fewer branch managers, who used to be really well paid.
- **Example 2:** There are now more accountants than before spreadsheet

AI and Wages

- **Example 1:** In 2015 (cf. Autor), more bank tellers than before ATMs. Because each branch has fewer tellers, so branches are cheaper, so more branches.
 - Tellers are now better paid, but fewer branch managers, who used to be really well paid.
- **Example 2:** There are now more accountants than before spreadsheets.
- **Example 3:** There aren't enough truck drivers, because it's no longer a well-paid job.
 - Power steering + GPS + excel = more drivers, lower wages.

AI and Employment

- If we make AI software that doubles the efficacy of teachers:
 - We could have twice as good of schools
 - We could pay half as many teachers
- Political (**normative**, policy) decision, but note differences:
 - Fewer people with jobs.
 - Higher average quality teachers?
 - Fewer whistle blowers / simpler control problem.

Some Benefits of People

rate of evolution \propto amount of variation

Fisher's Fundamental Theorem of Natural Selection

Less variation means less robustness for
addressing underlying change.

Without **privacy, tolerance, and diversity**
society loses its capacity to innovate, **which**
is required to address new challenges.

AI and Redistribution

- Society is richer than it's ever been.
 - We all live better than 1917 millionaires by many measures.
- Some suggest we could redistribute this wealth through **basic income**.
 - Personally, I'm concerned that this may fragment society; that employment is a kind of social glue.
- Empirically, when just a few communities get basic income, it brings those communities together (Standing 2018).
 - This **may** be because being the community getting basic income gives it a strong sense of positive **identity**.

Outline

- Expedient anthropomorphism (Today!)
- Employment / Economic Impact I

Interesting Questions for Interesting Times (me at the OECD 2017)

- Can AI help migrants seamlessly translate into institutions as well as language?
- Can China develop support for human flourishing and dignity under Xi's **Thought on Socialism with Chinese Characteristics in a New Era**?
- Can the **Arts** and **Humanities** keep us interested in being human when we can use AI to search for our own next move?